<i>P</i> *	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/625,797	BENDETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ahmed M. Farah</u> .	(3) Gerald H. Kiel (Reg.	(3) Gerald H. Kiel (Reg. No. 25,116).	
(2) Bala Sandararajan (Reg. No. 50,900).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 June 2006	Time: <u>1:45 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 9, 11 and 21			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a writtente record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As to claims 9 and 21, typographical errors in the claims languages were discussed. Claim 11 was discussed in view of the prior art of record addressed in the Final Office Action. Applicant's representative has authorized the examiner to make changes/amendment(s) by Examiner's Amendment. See the attached Examiner's Amendment.